

Table 1a: Peer Institutions, Basic Comparison Data

Institution	Carnegie Class	MSA Size <sup>1</sup>	Total Enrollment <sup>2</sup>	FTE Students <sub>2</sub>	Degree Seeking Undergrad <sup>2</sup>	% PT Undergrad <sup>2,3</sup>	% Minority Students <sup>2</sup>	% Graduate Students <sup>2</sup>	Bachelor's Degrees Awarded <sup>2</sup>	Master's Degrees Awarded <sup>2</sup>	Doctoral Degrees Awarded <sup>2</sup>
<b>Aspirational Peers</b>											
Univ. Nevada – Las Vegas	DRE	1,576,541	27,339	21,488	20,608	28.5%	33.6%	17.7%	2,951	883	44
Univ. Wisconsin - Milwaukee	DRI	1,514,313	26,832	22,627	20,914	19.7%	16.5%	16.9%	3,698	1,360	75
Univ. of Memphis	DRI	1,239,337	20,668	16,536	15,466	26.4%	42.1%	20.8%	1,860	820	100
Cleveland State	DRE	2,139,512	15,664	11,348	8,944	31.8%	25.4%	32.4%	1,681	1,279	37
Univ. of New Orleans	DRE	1,317,541	17,350	13,594	13,005	27.8%	37.4%	23.8%	1,727	867	79
<b>Mean:</b>		<b>1,557,449</b>	<b>21,571</b>	<b>17,119</b>	<b>15,787</b>	<b>26.8%</b>	<b>31.0%</b>	<b>22.3%</b>	<b>2,383</b>	<b>1,042</b>	<b>67</b>
UTSA	M1	<b>1,820,719</b>	<b>26,175</b>	<b>22,586</b>	<b>22,259</b>	<b>24.8%</b>	<b>58.3%</b>	<b>13.9%</b>	<b>2,871</b>	<b>757</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Texas Emerging Research Institutions</b>											
UT Dallas	DRE	3,739,509	14,092	10,714	9,017	29.7%	36.4%	35.6%	1,775	1,444	63
Texas Tech	DRI	257,188	28,325	25,880	23,329	10.4%	17.4%	15.2%	3,850	1,065	174
UNT	DRI	1,850,161	31,155	25,228	24,274	21.5%	27.4%	22.1%	4,238	1,343	153
Univ. Houston – University Park	DRI	5,075,733	35,180	28,381	26,366	30.1%	56.5%	16.8%	4,367	1,392	196
UTA	DRI	1,850,161	25,297	19,943	18,663	28.3%	38.6%	24.4%	3,212	1,752	58
UTEP	DRE	705,436	18,918	14,668	15,448	29.9%	78.5%	17.6%	1,984	781	30
<b>Mean:</b>		<b>1,947,736</b>	<b>27,775</b>	<b>22,820</b>	<b>21,616</b>	<b>24.0%</b>	<b>43.7%</b>	<b>19.2%</b>	<b>3,530</b>	<b>1,267</b>	<b>122</b>
UTSA	M1	<b>1,820,719</b>	<b>26,175</b>	<b>22,586</b>	<b>22,259</b>	<b>24.8%</b>	<b>58.3%</b>	<b>13.9%</b>	<b>2,871</b>	<b>757</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Out-of-State Peers</b>											
Cal State – Fresno	M1	850,325	19,781	17,276	16,782	15.0%	47.1%	15.2%	2,922	563	2
E. Michigan Univ.	M1	2,028,778	23,862	17,340	18,622	30.5%	22.3%	20.4%	2,884	1,234	11
San Francisco State	M1	1,695,211	28,804	23,351	22,721	23.7%	51.3%	21.1%	4,574	1,714	17
Univ. North Carolina - Charlotte	M1	1,437,427	19,846	16,090	15,472	19.8%	23.2%	20.0%	2,782	849	26
Boise State	M1	510,876	18,332	13,459	14,841	36.7%	9.3%	8.8%	1,308	233	4
<b>Mean:</b>		<b>1,304,523</b>	<b>22,125</b>	<b>17,834</b>	<b>17,688</b>	<b>25.1%</b>	<b>30.6%</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>2,894</b>	<b>919</b>	<b>12</b>
UTSA	M1	<b>1,820,719</b>	<b>26,175</b>	<b>22,586</b>	<b>22,259</b>	<b>24.8%</b>	<b>58.3%</b>	<b>13.9%</b>	<b>2,871</b>	<b>757</b>	<b>4</b>

<sup>1</sup> U.S. Census Bureau, July 2003 estimates of Metropolitan Statistical Areas population size within which institution is located

<sup>2</sup> IPEDS 2004; note, however, that % PT undergraduates and % graduate students are calculated from enrollment data at those levels

<sup>3</sup> IPEDS Enrollment Survey 2004 data for UTSA misreports our numbers of PT and FT undergraduates; data in the table reflect correct values. IPEDS data being corrected with NCES.

Table 1b: Peer Institutions, Basic Comparison Data - continued

Table 1b: Peer Institutions, Basic Comparison Data - continued Institution	Total Operating Expenditures <sup>4</sup>	Operating Expenditures per FTE student	Total Research Expenditures <sup>1</sup>	% Expenditures for Research	6-yr. Grad Rate, 1997 Cohort <sup>1</sup>	Retention Rate <sup>5</sup>	SAT Total 25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile <sup>1</sup>	SAT Total 75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile <sup>1</sup>	ACT 25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile <sup>1</sup>	ACT 75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile <sup>1</sup>	% Freshmen Admitted <sup>6</sup>
<b>Aspirational Peers</b>											
Univ. Nevada – Las Vegas	\$351,762,000	\$16,370	\$32,877,000	9.4%	41.5%	72%	900	1140	18	24	80.1%
Univ. Wisconsin - Milwaukee	\$349,427,129	\$15,443	\$30,098,100	8.6%	37.1%	73%	n/a	n/a	20	24	89.0%
Univ. of Memphis	\$290,536,293	\$17,570	\$42,559,646	14.7%	35.7%	73%	930	1200	18	24	70.3%
Cleveland State	\$225,941,451	\$19,910	\$15,036,515	6.7%	27.0%	62%	n/a	n/a	16	22	n/a
Univ. of New Orleans	\$188,588,774	\$13,873	\$25,341,270	13.4%	24.5%	68%	900	1170	18	23	63.4%
<b>Mean:</b>	<b>\$281,251,129</b>	<b>\$16,633</b>	<b>\$29,182,506</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>33.2%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>910</b>	<b>1170</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>75.7%</b>
<b>UTSA</b>	<b>\$224,793,741</b>	<b>\$9,953</b>	<b>\$12,865,558</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>29.1%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>890</b>	<b>1120</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>99.3%</b>
<b>Texas Emerging Research Institutions</b>											
UT Dallas	\$182,409,997	\$17,025	\$25,409,681	13.9%	56.1%	81%	1130	1340	24	29	53.1%
Texas Tech	\$425,826,150	\$16,454	\$37,655,977	8.8%	54.4%	82%	1020	1220	21	26	67.1%
UNT	\$320,907,894	\$12,720	\$14,944,144	4.7%	39.6%	77%	1000	1220	21	26	72.1%
Univ. Houston – University Park	\$499,548,076	\$17,601	\$71,086,130	14.2%	38.7%	79%	940	1170	19	23	80.6%
UTA	\$244,172,608	\$12,244	\$16,860,274	6.9%	37.6%	69%	950	1180	19	24	72.0%
UTEP	\$217,149,460	\$14,804	\$28,458,337	13.1%	27.2%	70%	830	1100	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>Mean:</b>	<b>\$341,520,251</b>	<b>\$15,141</b>	<b>\$33,800,972</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>39.5%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>948</b>	<b>1178</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>70.0%</b>
<b>UTSA</b>	<b>\$224,793,741</b>	<b>\$9,953</b>	<b>\$12,865,558</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>29.1%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>890</b>	<b>1120</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>99.3%</b>
<b>Out-of-State Peers</b>											
Cal State – Fresno	\$233,817,153	\$13,370	n/a	n/a	45.9%	81%	820	1080	n/a	n/a	69.7%
E. Michigan Univ.	\$261,441,395	\$14,638	\$4,802,643	1.8%	41.0%	71%	870	1140	18	23	80.6%
San Francisco State	\$347,770,160	\$14,607	\$18,025,956	5.2%	40.3%	77%	870	1130	n/a	n/a	64.9%
Univ. North Carolina - Charlotte	\$224,827,269	\$13,973	\$12,122,775		46.6%	77%	970	1160	19	24	71.8%
Boise State	\$194,333,981	\$13,958	\$8,763,288	4.5%	30.2%	60%	875	1065	18	27	92.4%
<b>Mean:</b>	<b>\$254,679,459</b>	<b>\$14,109</b>	<b>\$10,928,666</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>40.8%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>881</b>	<b>1115</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>75.9%</b>
<b>UTSA</b>	<b>\$224,793,741</b>	<b>\$9,953</b>	<b>\$12,865,558</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>29.1%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>890</b>	<b>1120</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>99.3%</b>

<sup>4</sup> IPEDS 2004; note, however, SAT Total 25th and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles generated by adding SATV and SATM percentiles

<sup>5</sup> USN&WR 2006; reports three-year average

<sup>6</sup> IPEDS 2004 – calculated from number of applications and number of admissions reported on Institutional Characteristics, 2004

Table 2: Peer Comparisons: Important Changes, 2000 – 2004

Institution	FTE Students 2000 <sup>7</sup>	FTE Students 2004 <sup>8</sup>	% Change FTE Students	Operating Expenditures 2000 <sup>9</sup>	Operating Expenditures 2004 <sup>2</sup>	% Change Operating Expenditures	Operating Expenditures per FTE Student 2000 <sup>1</sup>	Operating Expenditures per FTE Student 2004 <sup>2</sup>	% Change Operating Expenditures per FTE Student	6-yr Grad Rate 1994 Cohort <sup>1</sup>	6-yr Grad Rate 1998 Cohort <sup>2</sup>	Change 6-yr Grad Rate
<b>Aspirational Peers</b>												
Univ. Nevada – Las Vegas	15,686	21,488	37.0%	\$238,686,000	\$351,762,000	47.8%	\$15,216	\$16,370	7.6%	35.4%	41.5%	6.1%
Univ. Wisconsin - Milwaukee	18,994	22,627	19.1%	\$290,831,192	\$349,427,129	20.2%	\$15,312	\$15,443	0.9%	38.1%	37.1%	-1.0%
Univ. of Memphis	15,831	16,536	4.5%	\$232,844,433	\$290,536,293	24.8%	\$14,708	\$17,570	19.5%	33.3%	35.7%	2.4%
Cleveland State	11,000	11,348	3.2%	183,155,100	\$225,941,451	23.4%	\$16,650	\$19,910	19.6%	26.9%	27.0%	0.1%
Univ. of New Orleans	12,442	13,594	9.3%	\$147,394,623	\$188,588,774	28.0%	\$11,487	\$13,873	17.1%	22.3%	24.5%	2.2%
<b>Mean:</b>	<b>14,791</b>	<b>17,119</b>	<b>14.6%</b>	<b>\$218,582,270</b>	<b>\$281,251,129</b>	<b>28.7%</b>	<b>\$14,747</b>	<b>\$16,633</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>31.2%</b>	<b>33.2%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>
<b>UTSA</b>	<b>14,495</b>	<b>22,586</b>	<b>55.8%</b>	<b>\$175,789,176</b>	<b>\$224,793,741</b>	<b>27.9%</b>	<b>\$12,128</b>	<b>\$9,953</b>	<b>-17.9%</b>	<b>23.2%</b>	<b>29.1%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>
<b>Texas Emerging Research Institutions</b>												
UT Dallas	7,695	10,714	39.2%	\$126,099,130	\$182,409,997	44.7%	\$16,387	\$17,025	3.9%	51.0%	56.1%	5.1%
Texas Tech	22,439	25,880	15.3%	\$392,938,191	\$425,826,150	8.4%	\$17,511	\$16,454	-6.0%	47.7%	54.4%	6.7%
UNT	21,673	25,228	16.4%	\$266,650,173	\$320,907,894	20.4%	\$12,303	\$12,720	3.4%	36.2%	39.6%	3.4%
Univ. Houston – University Park	25,479	28,381	11.4%	\$429,934,215	\$499,548,076	16.2%	\$16,874	\$17,601	4.3%	34.9%	38.7%	3.8%
UTA	15,467	19,943	28.9%	\$201,126,757	\$244,172,608	21.4%	\$13,004	\$12,244	-5.9%	30.7%	37.6%	6.9%
UTEP	12,071	14,668	21.5%	\$192,329,703	\$217,149,460	12.9%	\$15,933	\$14,804	-7.1%	23.5%	27.2%	3.7%
<b>Mean:</b>	<b>19,426</b>	<b>22,820</b>	<b>18.7%</b>	<b>\$296,595,808</b>	<b>\$341,520,838</b>	<b>15.8%</b>	<b>\$15,335</b>	<b>\$15,141</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>37.3%</b>	<b>39.5%</b>	<b>4.9%</b>
<b>UTSA</b>	<b>14,495</b>	<b>22,586</b>	<b>55.8%</b>	<b>\$175,789,176</b>	<b>\$224,793,741</b>	<b>27.9%</b>	<b>\$12,128</b>	<b>\$9,953</b>	<b>-17.9%</b>	<b>23.2%</b>	<b>29.1%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>
<b>Out-of-State Peers</b>												
Cal State – Fresno	16,035	17,488	9.1%	\$262,284,871	\$233,817,153	-10.9%	\$16,357	\$13,370	-18.3%	40.3%	45.8%	5.5%
E. Michigan Univ.	17,476	17,860	2.2%	\$227,720,472	\$261,441,395	14.8%	\$13,030	\$14,638	12.3%	33.8%	41.0%	7.2%
San Francisco State	21,373	23,809	11.4%	\$321,215,251	\$347,770,160	8.3%	\$15,029	\$14,607	-2.8%	32.1%	40.3%	8.2%
Univ. North Carolina - Charlotte	13,706	16,090	17.4%	\$206,923,641	\$224,827,269	8.7%	\$15,097	13,973	-7.5%	50.5%	46.6%	-3.9%
Boise State	12,033	13,923	15.7%	\$162,571,472	\$194,333,981	19.5%	\$13,510	\$13,958	3.3%	27.8%	30.2%	2.4%
<b>Mean:</b>	<b>16,125</b>	<b>17,834</b>	<b>11.2%</b>	<b>\$236,143,141</b>	<b>\$236,143,141</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>\$14,605</b>	<b>\$14,109</b>	<b>-2.6%</b>	<b>36.9%</b>	<b>40.8%</b>	<b>3.9%</b>
<b>UTSA</b>	<b>14,495</b>	<b>22,586</b>	<b>55.8%</b>	<b>\$175,789,176</b>	<b>\$224,793,741</b>	<b>27.9%</b>	<b>\$12,128</b>	<b>\$9,953</b>	<b>-17.9%</b>	<b>23.2%</b>	<b>29.1%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>

<sup>7</sup> IPEDS 2000

<sup>8</sup> IPEDS 2004

<sup>9</sup> IPEDS 2000 Finance Survey reports Total Current Funds Expenditures and Transfers for FY9900; not exactly the same as Total Operating Expenditures reported for FY0304 reported on IPEDS 2004 Finance Survey using GASB standards (Total Expenses)